

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 14 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Nguyen)	Art Unit: 2623
)	
Serial No.: 09/991,541)	Examiner: Yimam
)	
Filed: November 15, 2001)	50R4820
)	
For: CHANNEL METAPHOR FOR TV SYSTEM)	July 14, 2006
)	750 B STREET, Suite 3120
)	San Diego, CA 92101
)	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 18, 2006, the following remarks are submitted. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-16, 19, 20, and 22-24 (of which Claims 1, 9, and 19 are the independent claims) have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Wugofski et al., WO 99/35843 and Claims 3, 11, and 21 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Wugofski et al. in view of Miller, USPN 5,585,866. Also, Claims 17 and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Wugofski et al. in view of Sahota, USPP 2001/0047518.

The defect in the rejection of the independent claims is based on a misreading of Wugofski et al. Specifically, it is alleged that Wugofski et al., figures 2, 5A, 5B, and page 8, line 16 - page 9, line 14 teach that the channel number which is associated with the non-TV station signal is dynamically established by a TV signal provider, when in fact the relied-upon parts of the reference teach nothing about a non-TV channel

1168-49.AM1